

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/647,527	VOLKENING, FRED ALLAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Shi K. Li	2613	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Shi K. Li.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Patrick J. Finnan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 April 2007
**Time:** 3:30 p.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 48, 50, 52-54

Prior art documents discussed:

Davis (U.S. Patent 6,901,224 B1)
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*We discuss instant invention and agree that claim 2 clearly differentiates the invention from prior art. We also agree that claim 48, 50 and 52-54 recite negative limitation and are not relevant to the invention.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)